

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Pat int and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR				ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/528,225	03/21/00	WANG	•		Y	21 USA	
<del></del>		HM12/1106	2/1106	$\neg$	EXAMINER		
MARK FARBER				SAOUD,	C		
ALEXION PHARMECUTICALS		INC			ART UNIT	PAPE	R NUMBER
25 SCIENCE F SUITE 360 NEW HAVEN CT					1647 DATE MAILED	: 11/06/	9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 



Application No. 09/528,225 Applicant(s)



			Art Unit
	Office Action Summary	Christine Saoud	1647
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corr	respondence address
	The Walling Divis		o. FDOM
THE M - Extens afto - If the be - If NO cool - Failur	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ALL IT to SEI AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of the ser SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the seriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days considered timely. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory minimization.  The to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, eply received by the Office later than three months after the seriod patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however ication.  ys, a reply within the statutory mining the proof of the mailing date of this communication.	num of thirty (30) days will  X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). on, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
1) 🗆	a. J. Thin	action is non-tinal.	
	Since this application is in condition for allowand closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	e except for formal matters, poperte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; <sup>2</sup>	
Dispos	ition of Claims	i	s/are pending in the application.
4) 💢	ition of Claims  Claim(s) 1-18  4a) Of the above, claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.
	4a) Of the above, claim(s)		is/are allowed.
5)			
6)□	Claim(s)		is/are objected to.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	are subject to r	estriction and/or election requirement
8) 🔯	Claims <u>1-18</u>		
Appli 9) [ 10) [ 11) [	The specification is objected to by the Examine  The drawing(s) filed oni  The proposed drawing correction filed oni	er. s/are objected to by the Examir is: a) appr	ner.
12)[			
13)	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119  ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	have been received.	
	2. Copies of the priority document	ority documents have been rece	
	*See the attached detailed Office action for a list  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for do	1 ()) [[[6 66] (64)	§ 119(e).
14	Acknowledgement is made of a second		
	achment(s)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-	413) Paper No(s)
15)	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	19) Notice of Informal Patent	Application (PTO-152)
16)	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	<b>—</b>	
17)	Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		

Application/Control Number: 09/528,225

Art Unit: 1647

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-15, drawn to a chimeric fusion protein comprising insulin B chain and at least one GAD 65 peptide, classified in class 530, subclass 350, for example.
  - II. Claims 16-17, drawn to a method of treating a patient, classified in class 514, subclass 2, for example.
  - III. Claim 18, drawn to a mutant GAD protein, classified in class 530, subclass 350, for example.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the protein of Group I could be used for generation of antibodies, rather than in the method of treatment of Group II as well as the fact that the method of Group II could be practiced with a materially different product (such as insulin).
- 3. Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different

Application/Control Number: 09/528,225

Art Unit: 1647

functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are not related because the compound of Group III is not required for the method of Group II and the method of Group II cannot be practiced with the compound of Group III.

- 4. Inventions I and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions are directed to distinct compounds which are not disclosed as capable of use together, have different modes of operation, different functions, and/or different effects.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and the necessity for non-coextensive literature searches, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

## Species Election

6. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: various embodiments of chimeric fusion proteins comprising insulin B chain and at least one GAD 65 peptide. For example, claims 2-5 and 9-15 recite a number of distinct embodiments of GAD 65 peptide, including but not limited to peptides 115-127, 247-286, 473-519, residues 139-173 of SEQ ID NO:2, residues 2-154 of SEQ ID NO:1, residues 2-174 of SEQ ID NO:2, residues 2-138 of SEQ ID NO:3, residues 2-175 of SEQ ID NO:4, residues 2-226 of SEQ ID NO:5, residues 2-387 of SEQ ID NO:6, residues 2-438 of SEQ ID NO:7.

Art Unit: 1647

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable; i.e. a single molecular embodiment for the chimeric fusion protein.

Currently, claims 1, 6-8, and 16-17 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Page 5

Art Unit: 1647

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christine J. Saoud, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (703) 305-7519. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7AM to 3PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz, can be reached on (703) 308-4623.

Certain papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 1600 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to Technology Center 1600 via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1 (CM1). The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61 (November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 C.F.R. § 1.6(d)). NOTE: If Applicant *does* submit a paper by fax, the original signed copy should be retained by Applicant or Applicant's representative. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers.

Official papers filed by fax should be directed to (703) 308-4556. If this number is out of service, please call the Group receptionist for an alternate number. Faxed draft or informal communications with the examiner should be directed to (703) 308-0294. Official papers should NOT be faxed to 308-0294.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

November 2, 2001

CHRISTINE J. SAOUD
PRIMARY EXAMINER
Christine D. Saoud